

Inclusion Theorems for Sonnenschein Matrices Author(s): Frederick Hartmann Source: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 21, No. 3 (Jun., 1969), pp. 513 -519 Published by: American Mathematical Society Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/2036411</u> Accessed: 16/08/2010 11:41

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp">http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp</a>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=ams.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.

## INCLUSION THEOREMS FOR SONNENSCHEIN MATRICES<sup>1</sup>

## FREDERICK HARTMANN

1. Introduction. Inclusion theorems for various methods of summability have been the subject of recent research [2], [5]. In this article necessary and sufficient conditions for the inclusion of Sonnenschein matrix methods are investigated with special attention to matrices with complex entries.

Let f be a function that is analytic for  $z \in D_f = \{z: |z| < R\}$ , R > 1 and f(1) = 1. Let

$${f(z)}^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} z^k$$
  $n = 1, 2, \cdots,$   
 $a_{00} = 1, a_{0k} = 0$   $k = 1, 2, \cdots.$ 

Then f determines a sequence to sequence transformation,  $A(f) = (a_{nk})$ , whereby if  $\{s_k\}$  is a sequence and  $\sigma_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}s_k$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$  with  $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$  then  $\{s_k\}$  is said to be A(f)-summable to  $\sigma$ . Such matrices are called Sonnenschein matrices [7]. Special well-known cases to be discussed here are the Taylor or Circle method, T(r) [8], f(z) = (1-r)z/(1-rz), |r| < 1; the Laurent method, S(q) [8], f(z) = (1-q)/(1-qz), |q| < 1; the Euler-Knopp method, E(p) [1], f(z) = (1-q) + pz; and a generalization of the three preceding, the Karamata method,  $K(\alpha, \beta)$  [7],  $f(z) = \{\alpha + (1-\alpha - \beta)z\}/(1-\beta z)$ ,  $|\beta| < 1$ . In this new notation T(r) = K(0, r), S(q) = K(1-q, q), E(p) = K(1-p, 0). Necessary and sufficient conditions for these methods to be regular are  $0 \le r < 1$ , [3]; 0 < q < 1, [4];  $0 , [1]; and <math>\alpha = \beta = 0$  or  $1 - |\alpha|^2 > (1-\overline{\alpha})(1-\beta) > 0$ , [6] respectively.

The following lemma and notation will be used in the sequel.

LEMMA 1. Let DA(f) denote the domain of values z for which the geometric series is A(f)-summable to  $(1-z)^{-1}$ . Then

$$DA(f) = \{z: | f(z) | < 1\}, \quad z \in D_f.$$

PROOF. Let the *n*th partial sum of the geometric series be denoted by  $S_n = (1-z^{n+1})/(1-z)$ . Then the A(f)-transform,  $\{\sigma_n\}$ , of  $\{S_n\}$  is given by

Presented to the Society, January 24, 1969; received by the editors August 7, 1968.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work was part of the author's doctoral dissertation completed at Lehigh University, 1968, under the direction of Professor J. P. King.

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} S_k = (1-z)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} - (1-z)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} z^{k+1}$$
$$= (1-z)^{-1} - z(1-z)^{-1} \{f(z)\}^n.$$

Thus  $\sigma_n \rightarrow (1-z)^{-1}$  if and only if  $[f(z)]^n \rightarrow 0$  if and only if |f(z)| < 1. Let

$$m = \{x = \{x_n\} : x \text{ is bounded}\}, \quad c = \{x = \{x_n\} : x \text{ is convergent}\},\$$
$$c_{A(f)} = \{x : A(f)x = \{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k\} \in c\}, \quad \bar{\Delta}(0, 1) = \{z : |z| \leq 1\}.$$

## 2. Products and inverses.

THEOREM 1. Suppose A(f), A(g) are Sonnenschein matrices and  $g(\overline{\Delta}(0, 1)) \subset D_f$  then  $A(f) \cdot A(g) = A(f \circ g)$  and moreover (A(f)A(g))y = A(f)(A(g)y) for all  $y \in m$ .

PROOF. Let  $z \in \overline{\Delta}(0, 1)$  and  $A(f) = (a_{nk})$ ,  $A(g) = (b_{kj})$ . Then

$$\{g(z)\}^k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{kj} z^j, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

and the convergence is absolute. Since  $g(z) \in D_f$ 

(1)  
$$\{f(g(z))\}^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} \{g(z)\}^{k}, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{kj} z^{j}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} b_{kj}\right) z^{j}$$

The rearrangement (1) is permitted since the series involved converge absolutely. Likewise f(g(z)) is analytic on  $D_{fog} \supset \overline{\Delta}(0, 1)$  and hence

$${f(g(z))}^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{nk} z^k, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Thus by (1) and the uniqueness of power series representation

$$c_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}b_{jk}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots.$$

If  $y \in m$ , there exists M, such that  $|y_j| < M$ , for all j and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{kj} y_j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} b_{kj} \right) y_j,$$

since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| a_{nk} \right| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| b_{kj} \right| \left| y_{j} \right| \right) \leq M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| a_{nk} \right| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| b_{nj} \right|$$

and the right-hand side converges since  $1 \in D_{fog}$ .

In the following corollary f is a one-to-one analytic function and  $D_{f^{-1}}$  is the disk about the origin on which  $f^{-1}$  has a power series representation. (These two conditions are summarized in the single hypothesis A(f) and  $A(f^{-1})$  are Sonnenschein matrices.)

COROLLARY. Suppose A(f) and  $A(f^{-1})$  are Sonnenschein matrices with  $D_{f^{-1}} \supset f(\overline{\Delta}(0,1))$  then  $A(f) \cdot A(f^{-1}) = I = A(f^{-1}) \cdot A(f)$ , where I is the identity matrix, i.e.  $A(f^{-1}) = \{A(f)\}^{-1}$ .

PROOF. A(f) and  $A(f^{-1})$  Sonnenschein imply  $D_f \supset \overline{\Delta}(0,1)$  and  $D_{f^{-1}} \supset \overline{\Delta}(0, 1)$ . Furthermore,  $D_f \supset f^{-1}(\overline{\Delta}(0, 1))$  and  $D_{f^{-1}} \supset f(\overline{\Delta}(0,1))$ . Therefore  $A(f) \cdot A(f^{-1}) = A(f \circ f^{-1}) = A(e) = I = A(e) = A(f^{-1} \circ f) = A(f^{-1}) \cdot A(f)$ , where e is the identity function on the domain of  $f \circ f^{-1}$  and  $f^{-1} \circ f$  respectively.

3. Inclusion theorems. The following theorem can easily be proved using infinite matrix algebra.

THEOREM 2. Let A, B be one-to-one sequence matrix transformations. Let  $A^{-1}$  exist and  $B(A^{-1}y) = (BA^{-1})y$  and  $A(A^{-1}y) = (AA^{-1})y = y$ , for all  $y \in m$ . Then  $c_A \subset c_B$  if and only if  $BA^{-1}$  is conservative. Moreover, if A is regular then Ax and Bx converge to the same limit for  $x \in c_A$  if and only if  $BA^{-1}$  is regular.

We are now prepared to prove our main result.

THEOREM 3. Suppose A(f), A(g) and  $A(f^{-1})$  are Sonnenschein matrices with A(f) a regular, one-to-one transformation. Then  $c_{A(f)} \subset c_{A(g)}$  and A(f)x and A(g)x converge to the same limit if and only if  $D_g \supset f^{-1}(\overline{\Delta}(0, 1))$  and  $A(g) \cdot A(f^{-1})$  is regular.

**PROOF.** Sufficiency. Since A(f) is a regular Sonnenschein matrix and  $A(f^{-1})$  is Sonnenschein, a result of Bajšanski [2] implies  $f(\overline{\Delta}(0, 1)) \subset \overline{\Delta}(0,1) \subset D_{f^{-1}}$ . Hence by the corollary to Theorem 1  $A(f^{-1}) = \{A(f)\}^{-1}$  and  $A(g) \cdot A(f^{-1}) = A(g \circ f^{-1}) = A(g) \cdot \{A(f)\}^{-1}$  and  $A(g) (A(f^{-1})y) = (A(g) \cdot A(f^{-1}))y$ ,  $A(f)(A(f^{-1})y) = (A(f) \cdot A(f^{-1}))y = y$ , for all  $y \in m$ . Thus Theorem 2 implies the result.

Necessity. By Theorem 2 it remains only to show that  $D_{g} \supset f^{-1}(\overline{\Delta}(0, 1))$  is necessary. Suppose  $c_{A(f)} \subset c_{A(g)}$  and  $D_{g} \supset f^{-1}(\overline{\Delta}(0, 1)) = \{z: |f(z)| < 1, z \in D_f\}$ . By Lemma 1 this implies  $DA(g) \supset DA(f)$  and this contradicts the hypothesis  $c_{A(f)} \subset c_{A(g)}$ .

1969]

Let 
$$f(z) = \left\{ \alpha' + (1 - \alpha' - \beta')z \right\} / (1 - \beta'z)$$
 and  

$$g(z) = \left\{ \alpha + (1 - \alpha - \beta)z \right\} / (1 - \beta z)$$

with  $|\beta| < 1$  and  $|\beta'| < 1$ . Then  $A(f) = K(\alpha', \beta')$  and  $A(g) = K(\alpha, \beta)$ . When no confusion can arise  $K(\alpha', \beta') \cap m \subset K(\alpha, \beta)$  will replace the more cumbersome  $c_{K(\alpha',\beta')} \cap m \subset c_{K(\alpha,\beta)}$ .

THEOREM 4. Suppose  $|\beta| < 1$ ,  $|\beta'| < 1$  and

(i) 
$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \left| \alpha'\beta' - 1 + \alpha' + \beta' \right| - 2 \left| \alpha'\beta' \right| \cos \theta \right| \\ \geq 2 \left| \beta' \right| \left| \frac{\alpha' + \beta' - 1 - \alpha'\beta'}{\alpha' + \beta' - 1 + \alpha'\beta'} \right|$$

where  $\theta$  is the positive angle between  $\alpha'$  and  $\mu = (\alpha'\beta' - 1 + \alpha' + \beta')/2\beta'$ and

(ii) 
$$1 - |\alpha'|^2 > (1 - \bar{\alpha}')(1 - \beta') > 0$$
 or  $\alpha' = \beta' = 0$ 

then  $K(\alpha', \beta') \cap m \subset K(\alpha, \beta)$  and the transformed limits are the same if and only if

(iii) 
$$|\beta| |1 - |\alpha'|^2| < ||\beta'|^2 - |1 - \beta' - \alpha'|^2|$$

and

(iv) 
$$\begin{aligned} |(1-\beta') - \alpha'(1-\beta)|^2 - |\alpha(1-\beta') - \alpha'(1-\beta)|^2 \\ > (1-\bar{\alpha})(1-\beta)(1-\bar{\beta}')(1-\alpha') > 0 \end{aligned}$$

or  $\alpha = \alpha'$  and  $\beta = \beta'$ .

PROOF. If  $|\beta| < 1$ ,  $|\beta'| < 1$ , then  $A(f) = K(\alpha', \beta')$  and  $A(g) = K(\alpha, \beta)$  are Sonnenschein matrices and moreover it follows that the  $K(\alpha', \beta')$  transform is one-to-one on  $c_{K(\alpha',\beta')} \cap m$ . For  $A(f^{-1})$  to be Sonnenschein it is necessary that the range of f include the unit disk, i.e.

$$f(\{z: |z| < 1/|\beta'|\}) \supset \overline{\Delta}(0, 1).$$

f transforms the disk  $D(0, 1/|\beta'|)$  conformally onto the half plane, H, whose boundary contains  $f(-1/\beta') = \mu$  and whose interior contains  $f(0) = \alpha'$ . The line through  $\mu$  and  $\alpha'$  is thus perpendicular to the boundary of H, because the line through  $-1/\beta'$  and 0 is perpendicular to the circle  $C(0, 1/|\beta'|)$ . A simple calculation shows  $H \supset \overline{\Delta}(0, 1)$  if and only if

$$|\mu| |\mu| - |\alpha'| \cos \theta| \ge |\mu - \alpha'|,$$

where  $\mu = \{\alpha'\beta' - 1 + \alpha' + \beta'\}/2\beta'$ , if and only if (i) holds.

[June

$$f^{-1}(z) = (z - \alpha')/\{\beta' z + (1 - \alpha' - \beta')\}$$
$$= \frac{\left(\frac{-\alpha'}{1 - \alpha' - \beta'}\right) + \left(1 - \left[\frac{-\alpha'}{1 - \alpha' - \beta'}\right] - \left[\frac{-\beta'}{1 - \alpha' - \beta'}\right]\right)z}{1 - \left(\frac{-\beta'}{1 - \alpha' - \beta'}\right)z};$$

thus by the corollary to Theorem 1,

$$\{A(f)\}^{-1} = A(f^{-1}) = K\left(\frac{-\alpha'}{1-\alpha'-\beta'}, \frac{-\beta'}{1-\alpha'-\beta'}\right) = K^{-1}(\alpha', \beta')$$

if  $|\beta'| < 1$  and (i) holds.

By Theorem 3, if  $D_g \supset f^{-1}(\overline{\Delta}(0, 1))$  then  $A(g) \cdot A(f^{-1}) = A(g \circ f^{-1})$ =  $K(\alpha, \beta) \cdot K^{-1}(\alpha', \beta')$  which implies

$$K(\alpha,\beta)K^{-1}(\alpha',\beta') = K\left(\frac{\alpha(1-\beta')-\alpha'(1-\beta)}{1-\alpha'-\beta'+\beta\alpha'}, \frac{\beta-\beta'}{1-\alpha'-\beta'+\beta\alpha'}\right).$$

Sledd [6] proved  $K(\alpha^*, \beta^*)$  is regular if and only if  $\alpha^* = \beta^* = 0$  or  $1 - |\alpha^*|^2 > (1 - \overline{\alpha}^*)(1 - \beta^*) > 0$ . Thus  $K(\alpha', \beta')$  is regular if and only if (ii) holds and  $K(\alpha, \beta) \cdot K^{-1}(\alpha', \beta')$  is regular if and only if (iv) holds. Finally,  $D_g \supset f^{-1}(\overline{\Delta}(0, 1))$  if and only if

 $|1 - |\alpha'|^2 - (1 - \bar{\alpha}')(1 - \beta')| + |(1 - \bar{\alpha}')(1 - \beta')|$ 

(1) 
$$\frac{|1 - |\alpha|^2 - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)| + |(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)|}{||\beta'|^2 - |1 - \alpha' - \beta'|^2|} < \frac{1}{|\beta|},$$

since  $f^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}(0, 1))$  is a disk with center,

$$C = \frac{1 - |\alpha'|^2 - (1 - \alpha')(1 - \bar{\beta}')}{|\beta'|^2 - |1 - \alpha' - \beta'|^2}$$

and radius

$$R = \frac{\left|1-\beta'\right| \left|1-\alpha'\right|}{\left|\left|\beta'\right|^2 - \left|1-\alpha'-\beta'\right|^2\right|}$$

and (1) is equivalent to  $|C| + |R| < 1/|\beta|$ . Thus the transformed disk is contained in  $D_g = \{z: |z| < 1/|\beta|\}$  if and only if (1) holds. Thus if (ii) holds (iii) is equivalent to (1).

The following corollaries to Theorem 4 give necessary and sufficient conditions for inclusion of some well-known matrix transforms by other matrix methods. In particular they answer some questions posed by Schoonmaker [5].

1969]

1

COROLLARY 1. If  $\frac{1}{2} and <math>0 < r < 1$ , then  $E(p) \subset T(r)$  if and only if (1-p)/(2-p) < r < p/(2-p).

**PROOF.** With the notation of Theorem 4, E(p) = K(1-p, 0), T(r) = K(0, r). Thus conditions (i) and (ii) of that theorem are satisfied, because (i) is trivially true and (ii) is equivalent to  $0 . Condition (iii) with hypothesis <math>\frac{1}{2} and <math>0 < r < 1$  becomes  $|r||1-|1-p||^2| < |p||^2$ . This holds if and only if

(1) 
$$r < p/(2-p).$$

Condition (iv) with  $\frac{1}{2} and <math>0 < r < 1$  becomes

$$|1 - (1 - p)(1 - r)|^2 - |1(1 - p)(1 - r)|^2 > (1 - r)p > 0$$

which is equivalent to

(2) 
$$r > (1 - p)/(2 - p).$$

But inequalities (1) and (2) can hold simultaneously only if  $\frac{1}{2} < p$  and thus the result follows.

COROLLARY 2. If  $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{3}$  and |q| < 1 then  $T(r) \cap m \subset S(q)$  if and only if 0 < q < 1 - 2r.

**PROOF.** S(q) = K(1-q, q) and T(r) = K(0, r). If  $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{3}$  and |q| < 1, condition (i) of Theorem 4 is satisfied, and (ii) is satisfied since (ii) is equivalent to regularity of T(r) or  $0 \le r < 1$ . Conditions (iii) and (iv) will be satisfied if and only if

(1) 
$$|q| < ||1-r|^2 - |r|^2|$$

and

(2) 
$$|1-r|^2 - |(1-q)(1-r)|^2 > \bar{q}(1-q)(1-r) > 0.$$

It follows from  $\bar{q}(1-q)(1-r) > 0$  and |q| < 1 that q is real and q > 0. But then, under the hypothesis of the corollary, (1) becomes q < 1-2rand (2) becomes q > (2r-1)/r. But this latter inequality is satisfied since (2r-1)/r < 0 < q. Therefore (1) and (2) are equivalent to 0 < q < 1-2r.

COROLLARY 3. If  $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{3}$ , then  $T(r) \cap m \subset E(p)$  if and only if 0 .

**PROOF.** K(0, r) = T(r) and K(1-p, 0) = E(p). If  $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{3}$  then, a fortiori,  $0 \le r < 1$  which is equivalent to condition (ii) and implies condition (i) of Theorem 4. Conditions (iii) and (iv) of that theorem are equivalent to

1969]

(1) 
$$0 < ||r|^2 - |1-r|^2|,$$

and

(2) 
$$|1-r|^2 - |(1-p)(1-r)|^2 > \overline{p}(1-r) > 0,$$

respectively. (1) is trivially satisfied and (2) implies p is real, p>0. The first inequality in (2) thus reduces to p<1 and the result follows.

Corollaries 1, 2, and 3 strengthen and add new results to theorems of Schoonmaker [5]. In conclusion it should be noted that results for  $E(p) \supset S(q)$  and  $T(r) \supset S(q)$  could not be found using the methods of this paper because  $f^{-1}(z) = \{z - (1-q)\}/qz, f(z) = (1-q)/(1-qz), |q| < 1$  is not analytic at the origin. This leads the author to suspect that  $S^{-1}(q)$  does not exist but no results along these lines could be found.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. P. Agnew, Euler transformations, Amer. J. Math. 66 (1944), 313-338.

2. B. Bajšanski, Sur une classe générale de procédés de summations du type d'Euler-Borel, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 10 (1956), 131–152.

3. G. Lausch, Relations among the Weierstrass methods of summability, Doctoral Dissertation, Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y., 1949.

4. W. Meyer-König, Untersuchungen über einige verwandte Limitierungsverfahren, Math. Z. 52 (1949), 257–304.

5. N. Schoonmaker, Inclusion theorems among some methods of summability, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1956), 102-108.

6. W. T. Sledd, Regularity conditions for Karamata matrices, J. London Math. Soc. 38 (1963), 105-107.

7. J. Sonnenschein, Sur les series divergentes, Acad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci. 35 (1949), 594-601.

8. P. Vermes, Series to series transformations and analytic continuation by matrix methods, Amer. J. Math. 71 (1949), 541-562.

VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY