

SEQUENCES OF BOUNDED SUMMABILITY DOMAINS

R. M. DEVOS AND F. W. HARTMANN

C. Goffman and G. N. Wollan conjectured that the bounded summability field of a regular matrix A is so thin that the union of countably many such sets is not dense in m . G. M. Petersen proved this conjecture. This result is strengthened by showing if A is a noncoercive matrix whose summability field contains all the finite sequences then its bounded summability field is so thin that the union of countably many such sets is not dense in m . An example is given to show that the condition of containing the finite sequences is necessary.

Preliminaries. Let m and c be respectively the Banach spaces of bounded and convergent sequences, $x = \{x_n\}$, of complex numbers with norm $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_n |x_n|$, $B(x, r) = \{z \in m: \|x + z\|_\infty < r\}$. Denote the n th section of x by $P_n(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$. For each infinite matrix A the set of x transformed by A to convergent sequences is called the summability field of A and denoted by c_A . The set of bounded sequences in c_A is called the bounded summability field of A and is denoted by \mathcal{A} . A is called conservative if and only if $c_A \supset c$, regular if and only if A is conservative and limits are preserved, coercive if and only if $c_A \supset m$. If $A = (a_{nk})$, then the A transform of x is designated by $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\} = \{\sum_k a_{nk}x_k\}$. A is conservative if and only if $\|A\|_\infty = \sup_n \sum_k |a_{nk}| < \infty$, $a_k = \lim_n a_{nk}$ exists for each k and $\lim_n \sum_k a_{nk}$ exists [5, p. 165]. A is coercive if and only if $\sum_k |a_{nk}|$ converges uniformly in n and a_k exists for each k [5, p. 169]. Define the essential norm of A by $\|A\|_e = \limsup_n \sum_k |a_{nk} - a_k|$ whenever a_k exists for each k . (Note $\|\cdot\|_e$ is not a true norm, since $\|\cdot\|_e$ may be infinite.)

Let E^∞ be the set of all finite sequences and N_0 the set of all sequences of 0's and 1's. Using binary expansions there is a natural injective mapping of $(0, 1)$ onto all but a countable subset of N_0 .

MAIN RESULTS. C. Goffman and G. N. Wollan conjectured [4] that the bounded summability field of regular A is so thin that the union of countably many such sets is not dense in m . G. M. Petersen proved this conjecture [6]. We strengthen that result and show that in a certain sense our result is best possible.

THEOREM. *Let $\{A_i\}$ be a countable collection of noncoercive matrices with $\mathcal{A}_i \supset E^\infty$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_i$ is not dense in m .*

We prove the theorem through a series of lemmas. Since we

want $E^\infty \subset \mathcal{A}$, we shall assume all A in the sequel have convergent columns.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\|A\|_\infty < \infty$ then $\|A\|_c = 0$ if and only if A is coercive.*

Proof. Suppose A is coercive. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists k_0

$$\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < \varepsilon/3$$

for all n . Since $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{L}^1$, there is a k_1 such that $k > k_1$ implies

$$\sum_{k=k_1+1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Let $k_2 = \max(k_1, k_0)$. There exists $n_0 = n_0(k_2)$ such that $n > n_0$ implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_2} |a_{nk} - a_k| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Let $n > n_0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk} - a_k| &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_2} |a_{nk} - a_k| + \sum_{k=k_2+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk} - a_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_2} |a_{nk} - a_k| + \sum_{k=k_2+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| + \sum_{k=k_2+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \\ &< \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely assume A is noncoercive. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n(p)\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_{n(p),k}| > \varepsilon$. There exists k_0 such that $\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \varepsilon/2$. Pick p with $p > k_0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{n(p),k} - a_k| &\geq \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_{n(p),k} - a_k| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_{n(p),k}| - \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \\ &\geq \varepsilon - \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|A\|_c > 0$.

Let $\Gamma(c, c)$ be the Banach algebra of conservative matrices and \mathcal{K} be the ideal of compact operators. It is well known [8] that $A \in \mathcal{K}$ if and only if A is coercive. $\Gamma(c, c)/\mathcal{K}$ is a Banach algebra and is called a Calkin algebra [2]. It is easily seen that $\|\cdot\|_c$ is the norm in the Calkin algebra.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\|A\|_c < \infty$ and a and b be cluster points of Ax ,*

want $E^\infty \subset \mathcal{A}$, we shall assume all A in the sequel have convergent columns.

LEMMA 1. Let $\|A\|_\infty < \infty$ then $\|A\|_o = 0$ if and only if A is coercive.

Proof. Suppose A is coercive. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists k_0

$$\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < \varepsilon/3$$

for all n . Since $\{a_k\} \in \ell^1$, there is a k_1 such that $k > k_1$ implies

$$\sum_{k=k_1+1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Let $k_2 = \max(k_1, k_0)$. There exists $n_0 = n_c(k_2)$ such that $n > n_0$ implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_2} |a_{nk} - a_k| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Let $n > n_0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk} - a_k| &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_2} |a_{nk} - a_k| + \sum_{k=k_2+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk} - a_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_2} |a_{nk} - a_k| + \sum_{k=k_2+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| + \sum_{k=k_2+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \\ &< \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely assume A is noncoercive. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n(p)\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_{n(p),k}| > \varepsilon$. There exists k_0 such that $\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \varepsilon/2$. Pick p with $p > k_0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{n(p),k} - a_k| &\geq \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_{n(p),k} - a_k| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_{n(p),k}| - \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \\ &\geq \varepsilon - \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|A\|_o > 0$.

Let $\Gamma(c, c)$ be the Banach algebra of conservative matrices and \mathcal{K} be the ideal of compact operators. It is well known [8] that $A \in \mathcal{K}$ if and only if A is coercive. $\Gamma(c, c)/\mathcal{K}$ is a Banach algebra and is called a Calkin algebra [2]. It is easily seen that $\|\cdot\|_o$ is the norm in the Calkin algebra.

LEMMA 2. Let $\|A\|_o < \infty$ and a and b be cluster points of Ax ,

$x \in m$, then $|a - b| \leq 2\|A\|_0 \|x\|_\infty$.

Proof. Let a and b be cluster points of Ax and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist increasing sequences of positive integers $\{n(i)\}$, $\{m(j)\}$ and N_0 such that for $n(i), m(j) > N_0$

$$\left| \sum_k a_{n(i),k} x_k - a \right| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\left| \sum_k a_{m(j),k} x_k - b \right| < \varepsilon.$$

There exists N_1 such that $n > N_1$ implies

$$\sum_k |a_{nk} - a_k| < \|A\|_0 + \varepsilon.$$

Let $n(i), m(j) > \max(N_0, N_1)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |a - b| &\leq \left| \sum_k a_{n(i),k} x_k - \sum_k a_{m(j),k} x_k \right| + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq \sum_k |a_{n(i),k} - a_{m(j),k}| |x_k| + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq \|x\|_\infty \sum_k |(a_{n(i),k} - a_k) - (a_{m(j),k} - a_k)| + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq \|x\|_\infty (\|A\|_0 + \varepsilon + \|A\|_0 + \varepsilon) + 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary the conclusion follows.

The next lemma is due to Bennett and Kalton and appears as Lemma 7 of [1, p. 577].

LEMMA 3. (Bennett and Kalton). If z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n is any finite collection of complex numbers then there exists a subset $J(n)$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{j \in J(n)} z_j \right| \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|.$$

LEMMA 4. If $\|A\| = \infty$, then there exists $E(A)$ with $E(A) \subset N_0$, $N_0 \setminus E(A)$ of first category and if $u \in E(A)$ then $B(u, 1/32) \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$.

Proof. Case 1. Assume all the rows of A are in ℓ^1 . Let $\|A\| = \infty$. Pick sequences $n(k)$ and $q(k)$ inductively such that $n(1) = 1$ and

- (i) $\sum_{i=q(k)+1}^\infty |a_{n(k),i}| < 2^{-k}$
- (ii) $\sum_{i=q(k-1)+1}^{q(k)} |a_{n(k),i}| > (65/7) \sup_j \{ \sum_{i=1}^{q(k-1)} |a_{ji}| \}$.

By Lemma 3 select $J(k) \subset \{q(k-1) + 1, \dots, q(k)\}$ with

$$\left| \sum_{i \in J(k)} a_{n(k), i} \right| \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=q(k-1)+1}^{q(k)} |a_{n(k), i}|.$$

For each natural number k define the sequence u^k by $u_i^k = 1$ if $i \in J(k)$, $u_i^k = 0$ if $i \notin J(k)$. Let

$$O_k = \{u \in N_0 : (P_{q(k)} - P_{q(k-1)})(u - u^k) = 0\}.$$

If $E(A) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} O_k$, then $E(A)$ is of second category. [$\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} O_k$ is open and dense, hence by the Baire theorem $E(A)$ is of second category.] Let $u \in E(A)$ and $\|z\|_{\infty} < 1/32$. u is in an infinite number of the O_k . Let $u \in O_r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(A(u+z))_{n(r)}| &\geq |(Au)_{n(r)}| - |(Az)_{n(r)}| \\ &\geq \left| \sum_{i=q(r-1)+1}^{q(r)} a_{n(r), i} u_i \right| - \left| \sum_{i=1}^{q(r-1)} a_{n(r), i} u_i \right| - \left| \sum_{i=q(r)+1}^{\infty} a_{n(r), i} u_i \right| \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{32} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{n(r), i}| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=q(r-1)+1}^{q(r)} |a_{n(r), i}| - \frac{33}{32} \sum_{i=1}^{q(r-1)} |a_{n(r), i}| \\ &\quad - \frac{33}{32} \sum_{i=q(r)+1}^{\infty} |a_{n(r), i}| - \frac{1}{32} \sum_{i=q(r-1)+1}^{q(r)} |a_{n(r), i}| \\ &\geq \frac{7}{32} \sum_{i=q(r-1)+1}^{q(r)} |a_{n(r), i}| - \frac{33}{32} \sum_{i=1}^{q(r-1)} |a_{n(r), i}| - \frac{33}{32} 2^{-r} \\ &\geq \frac{7}{32} \frac{65}{7} \sup_j \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{q(r-1)} |a_{ji}| \right\} - \frac{33}{32} \sup_j \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{q(r-1)} |a_{ji}| \right\} - 2^{1-r} \\ &\geq \sup_j \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{q(r-1)} |a_{ji}| \right\} - 2^{1-r} \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } r \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the A transform of $u+z$ is unbounded.

Case 2. Let A have one row, x , not in \mathcal{A} . Let $B = (b_{nk})$ where $b_{nk} = P_n(x)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ and B satisfies the hypothesis of Case 1. Let $E(A) = E(B)$ then $E(A) \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ and $E(A)$ satisfies the other conditions of the lemma's conclusion.

LEMMA 5. *If $\|A\| < \infty$, and A is noncoercive then there is $E(A)$ with $E(A) \subseteq N_0$, $N_0 \setminus E(A)$ is of first category and if $u \in E(A)$, then $B(u, 1/32) \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Case 1. Assume $a_k = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Let α^n be the n th row of A . Using an argument similar to that of Petersen and Baker [6] (see also the construction of Lemma 4) it can be shown that without loss of generality one may assume that the rows and columns of A are in E^{∞} and moving to the right, (if $P_j \alpha^n = 0$ then

$P_j \alpha^n = 0$ for $m \geq n$). By Lemma 1 $\|A\|_o > 0$. Hence there exists increasing sequences $n(j)$ and $r(j)$ of positive integers such that

- (i) $\sum_{k=r(j-1)+1}^{r(j)} |a_{n(j),k}| > \|A\|_o/2$
- (ii) $(P_{r(j)} - P_{r(j-1)})\alpha^{n(j)} = \alpha^{n(j)}$.

Let $J(2j)$ be a subset of $r(2j - 1)$ to $r(2j) - 1$ with

$$\left| \sum_{k \in J(2j)} a_{n(j),k} \right| \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=r(2j-1)+1}^{r(2j)} |a_{n(j),k}| \geq \frac{\|A\|_o}{8}$$

(see Lemma 3). Define $O_j = \{u \in N_0: u_k = 1 \text{ if } k \in J(2j), u_k = 0 \text{ if } r(2j - 2) + 1 \leq k \leq r(2j), k \notin J(2j)\}$. Since only a finite number of coordinates are specified for elements of O_j , O_j is open. For each k , $\bigcup_{j=k}^\infty O_j$ is open and dense, hence by the Baire category theorem. $\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \bigcup_{j=k}^\infty O_j$ is of second category. Let $E(A) = \{u \in N_0: Au \text{ has cluster points, } a, b, \text{ with } |a - b| \geq \|A\|_o/8\}$. By construction each element of $\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \bigcup_{j=k}^\infty O_j$ has 0 and a ($|a| > \|A\|_o/8$) as cluster points thus $E(A)$ is of second category. Let $u \in E(A)$ and $\|z\|_\infty < 1/32$ and consider $A(u + z)$. Au has two cluster points separated in distance by at least $\|A\|_o/8$, and $A(z)$ has cluster points separated by at most $2(1/32)\|A\|_o$ (Lemma 2). Therefore $A(u + z)$ has at least two cluster points; hence $u + z \notin \mathcal{A}$.

Case 2. Let $a_k \neq 0$ for some k . Define $B = (b_{nk})$ where $b_{nk} = a_k/n, n, k = 1, 2, \dots$. B transforms every bounded sequence to a constant sequence, thus the cluster points of $(A - B)u, u \in m$, are a shift of those of Au , and $A - B$ satisfies the hypothesis of Case 1. Thus the conclusion follows in a manner similar to Case 1.

Proof of Theorem. Let A_i be a countable collection of non-coercive matrices with $\mathcal{A}_i \supset E^\infty, i = 1, 2, \dots$. By Lemmas 4 and 5 for each i there exists $E(A_i) \subseteq N_0, E(A_i)$ of second category, and if $u \in E(A_i), B(u, 1/32) \cap \mathcal{A}_i = \emptyset$. Thus $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty E(A_i) \neq \emptyset$ and if $u \in \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty E(A_i)$, then $B(u, 1/32) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_i) = \emptyset$. Hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_i$ is not dense in m .

Goffman and Wollan in [4] gave an example of a countable family of FK spaces contained in m whose union is dense in m . They can be realized as summability domains in the following manner. Let $\{r_i\}$ be a denumeration of the nonzero rationals. Define $A_i = (a_{nk}^{(i)})$ by

- (i) $a_{n1}^{(i)} = r_i, a_{n2}^{(i)} = -1, n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$
 - (ii) $a_{n1}^{(i)} = -1, a_{n2}^{(i)} = r_i^{-1}, n = 2, 4, 6, \dots$
- $a_{nk} = 0, k \geq 3, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then $\mathcal{A}_i = \{(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty: x_1 = x, x_2 = r_i x, x_k \text{ arbitrary for } k \geq 3 \text{ and } x \text{ complex}\} \cap m$. Each \mathcal{A}_i is nowhere dense in m , but $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_i$ is dense. Note, however, that $\mathcal{A}_i \not\supset E^\infty$. Hence the hypothesis that each

$\mathcal{A}_i \supseteq E^\infty$ cannot be removed and our result is in some sense best possible.

Although we have proved our result only for \mathcal{A}_i , we conjecture that the following more general result holds:

Conjecture. If $\{F_i\}$ is a countable collection of FK -spaces each containing E^∞ but not m , then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ is not dense in m . (See [8] for definitions and basic results.)

REFERENCES

1. G. Bennett and N. J. Kalton, *FK-spaces containing c_0* , *Duke Math. J.*, **39** (1972), 561-582.
2. S. R. Caradus, W. E. Pfaffenberger and Bertram Yood, *Calkin Algebras and Algebras of Operators on Banach Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1974.
3. R. DeVos, *Category of sequences of 0's and 1's in some FK spaces*, to appear in the *Glasgow Math. J.*
4. C. Goffman and G. N. Wollan, *Sequences of regular summability matrices*, *Monats. für Math.*, **76** (1972), 118-120.
5. I. J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1970.
6. G. M. Petersen, *Summability fields which span the bounded sequences densely*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **5** (1973), 187-191.
7. ———, *Addendum: Summability fields which span the bounded sequences densely*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **7** (1975), 105.
8. A. Wilansky, *Functional Analysis*, Blaisdell, New York, 1964.

Received April 4, 1977 and in revised form August 8, 1977.

VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY
VILLANOVA, PA 19085